



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/814,194	03/2,1/2001	Edward L. Grivna	0325.00438	2370
21363 75	.97/06/2004	EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA, P.C. 24840 HARPER			WANG, TED M	
ST. CLAIR SHORES, MI 48080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	3
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
. Office Action Summary		09/814		GRIVNA ET AL.			
		Exami		Art Unit			
				2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO I - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply very ply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no inication. d days, a reply within the utory period will apply ar fill, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be ti statutory minimum of thirty (30) da id will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on <u>3/21/2001</u> .		•			
2a)[_]	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration:						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,8,12-15,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>5, 6, 9,-11,and 16-18</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	n requirement.				
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
-	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	•			(070 440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	⁻ O-948)	4) Ll Interview Summar Paper, No(s)/Mail [
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or R No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/814,194 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7, 8, 12-15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilbrod (US5,946,300) in view of Tarrab et al. (US5,195,093).
 - In regard claim 1, Wilbrod cited by the applicant discloses a device for transferring information between two digital signal generators that a first circuit configures to generate a first select signal, a second select signal and a first data stream in response to an input data stream (Fig.5 and Fig.6, column 1 line 56 column 3 line 3, column 5 line 64 column 7 line 32, and column 7 line 55 column 9 line 5); and a second circuit configured to generate an output data stream in response to said first data stream, said first select signal and said second select signal, wherein said second circuit is configured to replace one or more characters of said first data stream (Fig.5 element 42 and Fig.6 element 54, column 1 line 56 column 3 line 3, column 5 line 64 column 7 line 32, and

į

column 7 line 55 – column 9 line 5) except specifically teaching that the generated first select signal, second select signal and first data stream is also in response to an exception signal.

Tarrab et al. discloses a method and an apparatus for ensuring CRC error generation by a data communication station experiencing transmitter exceptions (column 3 line 54 – column 4 line 27 and column 7 line 23-60) in order to ensure CRC error generation by a data communication station experiencing a transmitter exception during a transmission of a frame.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wilbrod's device for transferring information between two digital signal generators in view of Tarrab's disclosure in order to ensure CRC error generation by a data communication station experiencing a transmitter exception during a transmission of a frame.

- In regard claim 2, the limitation that the exception signal comprises a transmitter exception signal is contained in claim 1. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- one or more characters with a predetermined character to indicate the presence and duration of an exception condition in a transmission station can further be taught by Tarrab et al. in column 2 line 33 column 4 line 27.
- In regard claim 4, all limitation can further be taught by Tarrab et al. in column 2
 line 33 column 4 line 27.

Application/Control Number: 09/814,194 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

In regard claim 7, the limitation that first circuit comprises a detection-encoder circuit and said second circuit comprises an error injection circuit can further be taught by Wilbrod in Fig.5 elements 26 and 28 and 30 and 32, and 42, and Fig.6 elements 44 and 46 and 48 and 50 and 52, and 54.

- In regard claim 8, the limitation that the second circuit comprises a first multiplexer configured to multiplex said first data stream and an error injection path in response to said first select signal to present said output data stream can further be taught by Wilbrod in Fig.7 element 78 and Fig.8 element 102.
- In regard claim 12, all limitation can further be taught by Wilbrod in column 1 line 56 column 3 line 3, column 5 line 64 column 7 line 32, and column 7 line 55 column 9 line 5.
- In regard claim 13, the limitation that detection circuit is further configured to indicate a duration of said exception condition can further be taught by Tarrab et al. in Fig.2 and 4, and column 4 line 52 column 5 line 38, and column 7 line 61 column 8 line 32.
- In regard claim 14, which is an apparatus claim related to claim 1, all limitation is contained in claim 1. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- In regard claim 15, which is an apparatus claim related to claim 3, all limitation is contained in claim 3. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 09/814,194 Page 5

Art Unit: 2634

In regard claim 19, the limitation that step (A) further comprises detecting a hardware fault, a synchronization failure, or a software generated interrupt of a transmitter function can further be taught by Tarrab et al. in Fig.2 and 4, and column 4 line 52 – column 5 line 38, and column 7 line 61 – column 8 line 32.

In regard claim 20, all limitation can further be taught by Tarrab et al. in Fig.2 and 4, and column 4 line 52 – column 5 line 38, and column 7 line 61 – column 8 line 32.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5, 6, 9-11, and 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Reference US6,370,667 is cited because they are put pertinent to the CRC operating (transmitter exception operating). However, none of references teach detailed connection as recited in claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M Wang whose telephone number is (703) 305-0373. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 09/814,194

Art Unit: 2634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Chin can be reached on (703) 305-4714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2634 Page 6

Ted M. Wang

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600